

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-14, 16-21 and 23-24 are pending in the application. Reconsideration and a withdrawal of all objections and rejections are hereby respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and following remarks.

The drawings have been objected to, but that objection was in error, and the drawings are believed to be acceptable.

The claims have been numbered consistently, in accordance with the Examiner's remarks.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14, 17-21 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable under Sherman in view of Collins Jr. (US 5,149,947). This rejection is respectfully but strenuously traversed and reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

Applicant notes that the Examiner's response to the arguments indicated that although Applicant distinguished the prior art, the Examiner believed that mobile power source was not recited as such in the Applicant's previously rejected claims. Applicant has remedied this to more particularly and clearly articulate the invention by including in the claims a reference to a "mobile power source". In addition, the term "frame" has been more clearly recited to specify a movable cart (in claims 1, 6 and 21).

Claims 4 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman, as modified by Collins, Jr., in further view of US Patent Application 2004/0143512 A1 ("Sturr"). For the same reason set forth above, this rejection should also be overcome in view of the amendments. Also, claims 16 and 23 stand rejected under the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

Application Serial No. 10/763,411
Response dated: October 5, 2006
Reply to final Office Action dated: July, 5 2006

B-7258

unpatentable over Sherman in view of US 5,149,947 ("Collins, Jr"), in further view of Potega (US 6,459,175). This rejection should also be withdrawn for the same reasons as those set forth above.

Accordingly, for these reasons, and in view of the above amendments, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and a withdrawal of the final rejection.

Early allowance of the pending claims is hereby earnestly solicited.

CONCLUSION

Applicant submits that the claims, as now amended, contain patentable subject matter, and should be allowable. If further matters remain in connection with this case, the Examiner is invited to telephone the Applicant's undersigned representative.

If necessary, an appropriate extension of time to respond is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required to Patent Office Deposit Account No. 05-0208.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank J. Bonini, Jr.
John F.A. Earley III
Charles L. Riddle
Harding, Earley, Follmer & Frailey
Attorneys for Applicant
Registration No. 34,452
P.O. Box 750
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0750
Telephone: 610/935-2300

Date: 10/5/06